

New vegetation data of dry grasslands in the Western Carpathians and the northern Pannonian Basin

– Daniela Dúbravková, Katarína Hegedúšová, Monika Janišová and Iveta Škodová –

Abstract

The paper presents new vegetation data from dry grassland sites in the biogeographical regions of the Western Carpathians and the northern Pannonian Basin, mainly belonging to the alliances *Bromo pannonici-Festucion pallentis* and *Festucion valesiacae* (*Festuco-Brometea*). The 124 phytosociological relevés were sampled between 2005 and 2009 in Slovakia, the SE Czech Republic, NE Austria, and N Hungary. They are classified into 16 associations and four transitional vegetation types. The paper also brings new information on the distribution of grassland associations in the study area. A new locality of the rare association *Teucrio botryos-Andropogonetum ischaemi* was confirmed. During our recent investigation of historical sites of the *Alopecuro pratensis-Festucetum pseudovinae* (*Cynosurion cristati*, *Molinio-Arrhenatheretea*) in the Slaná river floodplain, only one occurrence could be confirmed. Geographical principles in distribution of dry grassland associations and classification of the *Stipa pulcherrima*-dominated stands are also discussed.

Zusammenfassung: Neue Vegetationsdaten von Trockenrasen aus den Westkarpaten und aus dem nördlichen Pannonischen Becken

Der Beitrag präsentiert neue Vegetationsdaten von Trockenrasen der Naturräume Westkarpaten und nördliches Pannonisches Becken, die überwiegend zu den Verbänden *Bromo pannonici-Festucion pallentis* und *Festucion valesiacae* (*Festuco-Brometea*) gehören. Die 124 Vegetationsaufnahmen wurden zwischen 2005 und 2009 in der Slowakei, in Südost-Tschechien, Nordost-Österreich und Nord-Ungarn angefertigt. Sie werden 17 verschiedenen Assoziationen und vier Übergangsstadien zugeordnet. Ferner enthält die Publikation neue Angaben zur Verbreitung der Trockenrasenassoziationen im Untersuchungsgebiet. Wir publizieren einen neuen Standort der seltenen Assoziation *Teucrio botryos-Andropogonetum ischaemi*, während von den historischen Standorten des *Alopecuro pratensis-Festucetum pseudovinae* (*Cynosurion cristati*, *Molinio-Arrhenatheretea*) nur ein einziger bestätigt werden konnte. Schließlich werden geografische Muster in der Verbreitung von Trockenrasenassoziationen sowie die Klassifikation der von *Stipa pulcherrima* dominierten Bestände diskutiert.

Keywords: Austria, *Bromo pannonici-Festucion pallentis*, *Festucion valesiacae*, *Festuco-Brometea*, *Cynosurion cristati*, Czech Republic, Hungary, *Molinio-Arrhenatheretea*, phytosociological relevé, Slovakia, syntaxonomy.

Abbreviations: Art. – Article, AT – Austria, CZ – Czech Republic, E₂ – shrub layer, E₁ – herb layer, E₀ – layer of bryophytes and lichens, FPGI – Frequency-Positive Fidelity Index, ICPN – International Code of Phytosociological Nomenclature (WEBER et al. 2000), HU – Hungary, ÖK – site code in “Österreichischer Trockenrasen-Katalog” (HOLZNER 1986), rel. – relevé, SK – Slovakia

1. Introduction

Pannonian dry grassland vegetation on shallow soils over calcareous bedrock (*Bromo pannonici-Festucion pallentis* Zólyomi 1966) and narrow-leaved continental dry grasslands on calcareous or acidic soils (*Festucion valesiacae* Klika 1931, *Koelerio-Phleion phleoidis* Korneck 1974) belong to the priority habitats of the Habitats Directive and the most endangered vegetation types in central Europe (EUROPEAN COMMISSION 2007, MOLNÁR et al. 2008). However, the management of these types of xerophilous vegetation underwent some dramatic changes in the last decades. Among these, the cessation of traditional low-intensity grazing has had particularly adverse effects. Alteration in management of dry grasslands resulted in changes of species composition or abundance of some species at the sites. There are some very good records of the species composition of dry grassland vegetation in the

Western Carpathians and the northern Pannonian Basin in older literature sources (e.g. SILLINGER 1930, KLIKA 1931, DOMIN 1932, BOJKO 1934, FUTÁK 1947, ZÓLYOMI 1958, MÉSZÁROS-DRASKOVITS 1967, EJSINK et al. 1978). There are also some more recent papers that publish relevés from individual regions (e.g. DOBOLYI et al. 1991, CSIKY 2003, WILLNER et al. 2004, MICHÁLKOVÁ et al. 2006, DÚBRAVKOVÁ-MICHÁLKOVÁ et al. 2008). However, there is still a lack of new vegetation data documenting the current species composition of many dry grassland sites.

The present paper aims to present new vegetation data that have not been published yet. Besides relevés from dry grassland sites protected by nature conservation, it also includes data recorded in non-protected areas. Here, the dry grassland vegetation might have been sampled and/or published for the first time, so these relevés represent unique vegetation data. We recorded the current species composition of dry grassland communities including bryophytes and lichens and assigned the relevés to phytosociological associations. Most of these relevés were used in the large-scale synthesis of dry grassland vegetation of the *Festucion valesiacae* and *Bromo pannonici-Festucion pallentis* (DÚBRAVKOVÁ et al. 2010). Thus, we attempted to classify the presented relevés in accordance with that recent synthesis.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Study area and vegetation data

The paper presents phytosociological relevés recorded in a large geographical region including Slovakia, the south-eastern Czech Republic (southern Moravia), north-eastern Austria (federal states of Burgenland, Lower Austria and Vienna), and northern Hungary. The study area includes the biogeographical region of the Western Carpathians and adjacent lowland and hilly landscapes of the northern Pannonian Basin (Fig. 1). Here, the dry grassland vegetation is mostly restricted to smaller extrazonal stands, although it shows some attributes similar to the zonal steppes in central Eurasia (WALTER 1974).

The 124 relevés presented were sampled in 2005–2009 according to the standard Braun-Blanquet approach (Zürich-Montpellier school, BRAUN-BLANQUET 1964, WESTHOFF & VAN DER MAAREL 1973, DENGLE et al. 2008). For sampling, we selected sites homogenous in species composition and environmental conditions. The relevé plot size was 16–25 m² in most relevés. We used a smaller plot size in three relevés (Table 1, rel. 26, 65, and 84), when the vegetation type sampled covered less than 16 m². At the sites, we also documented the cover of litter layer (which may indicate abandonment of the sites) and cover of rocks not covered by vegetation.

We stored all the relevés in a TURBOVEG database (HENNEKENS & SCHAMINÉE 2001). For cover values of species in all relevés, we used the extended nine-degree Braun-Blanquet scale (VAN DER MAAREL 1979). Vegetation data were processed using JUICE 6.5 software (TICHÝ 2002).

For matching of relevés to existing clusters created by numerical analysis published in DÚBRAVKOVÁ et al. (2010), we excluded taxa determined only on the level of genus as well as all species of lichens and bryophytes. We also merged some difficult species or subspecies, which were not determined in all relevés, as it was done in DÚBRAVKOVÁ et al. (2010: Appendix 1). However, for the presentation of our data, we included taxa determined on the level of genus, as well as lichens and bryophytes, and we merged only the following species into aggregates: *Cerastium pumilum* agg. (incl. *C. glutinosum*), *Dorycnium pentaphyllum* agg. (incl. *D. herbaceum*) and *Galium mollugo* agg. (incl. *G. album*), *Lotus corniculatus* agg. (incl. *L. borbasii*), *Poa pratensis* agg. (incl. *P. angustifolia*). Nomenclature of vascular plants and lichens is in accordance with MARHOLD & HINDÁK (1998). For taxa not listed in this handbook, nomenclature is according to other handbooks on national flora (SIMON 2000, FISCHER et al. 2005). Nomenclature of bryophytes follows KUBINSKÁ & JANOVICOVÁ (1996).

2.2. Syntaxonomical assignment of relevés

For assignment of relevés to syntaxonomical associations, we used the clusters revealed in the syntaxonomical study published by DÚBRAVKOVÁ et al. (2010). The major part of relevés presented in the current paper (83) was directly included in the data set used in that study. The rest of the relevés (41) were excluded from that data set due to the geographical stratification performed prior to the classification analysis. The assignment of relevés included in the data set of the former study follows the results of that synthesis. The relevés that were not included in the data set used in DÚBRAVKOVÁ et al. (2010) were compared to the clusters found in that study using the Frequency-Positive Fidelity Index (FPFI;




Fig. 1: Distribution of the analysed plots in the study area. Red circles indicate relevés of the *Bromo-pannonici-Festucion pallentis*, violet circles of the *Festucion valesiacae*, and the yellow circle the single relevé of the *Cynosurion cristati*. The numbers denote the geographic units in which the relevés were sampled. They are arranged in west-east and north-south directions. Czech Republic: Pavlovské vrchy Mts. (1); Austria: Weinviertel (2), Bisamberg (3), Hainburger Berge (4), Leitha-Gebirge (5); Slovakia: Podunajská rovina lowland (6), Malé Karpaty Mts. (7), Považský Inovec Mts. (8), Strážovské vrchy Mts. (9), Tríbeč Mts. – Zoborské vrchy Mts. (10), Hronská pahorkatina hills – Belianské kopce (11), Turčianska kotlina basin (12), Štiavnické vrchy Mts. (13), Krupinská planina hills (14), Lučenecká kotlina basin (15), Revúcka vrchovina hills – Drienčanský kras (16), Rimavská kotlina basin (17), Čierna hora Mts. (18); Hungary: Börzsöny Mts. (19), Visegrádi-hegység Mts and Pilis Mts. (20), Budai-hegysek Mts. (21), Vértes Mts. (22).

Abb. 1: Räumliche Verteilung der Aufnahmen im Untersuchungsgebiet. Rote Kreise stehen für das *Bromo-pannonici-Festucion pallentis*, violette Kreise für das *Festucion valesiacae* und der gelbe Kreis für die einzige Aufnahme des *Cynosurion cristati*. Die Nummern bezeichnen die Herkunftsregionen und sind in der englischen Legende erklärt.

TICHÝ 2005), which expresses the similarity of species composition of a relevé and a cluster. The highest index values do not always mean that the relevé should undoubtedly be assigned to the cluster at the first position, but a decision has to be made among several proposed clusters with the highest similarity indices regarding the information about their environmental and chorological conditions (JANIŠOVÁ 2007a). For this reason, we looked at the three highest values of the FPFII and assigned the relevés to the clusters according our field experience, taking into consideration the species composition, environmental conditions, and geographical location of each relevé. Since the large-scale survey of DÚBRAVKOVÁ et al. (2010) does not characterise the individual associations, but merely rankless vegetation types, some of which include more than one association, we classified relevés to particular associations or transitional vegetation types according to our expertise.

The assignment of diagnostic values to species in Table 1 (in the Supplement) follows BORHIDI (2003), CHYTRÝ et al. (2007), JANIŠOVÁ (2007a), and DÚBRAVKOVÁ et al. (2010). The character species of associations are only of local validity. The assignment of species to higher vegetation units (alliances, classes) in Table 1 follows JANIŠOVÁ (2007a) and CHYTRÝ et al. (2007). If some species are characteristic of more than one syntaxon, we assigned them to one of them and label the species name with the abbreviation of the other higher syntaxa. Table 2 lists the syntaxa names used in this paper with full author citations.

Table 2: Syntaxonomical assignment of relevés to associations and transitional vegetation types. Formal applications for the listed *nomina proposita* will be submitted to the Committee on Nomina Conservanda (CNC) of the Nomenclature Commission by the first author in the near future, according to the regulations of the ICPN (WEBER et al. 2000).

Tab. 2: Syntaxonomische Zuordnung der Aufnahmen zu Assoziationen und Übergangsbständen. Formelle Anträge auf die genannten nomina proposita beim Committee on Nomina Conservanda (CNC) der Nomenklaturkommission gemäß den Regeln des ICPN (WEBER et al. 2000) sind seitens der Erstautorin in Arbeit.

Association/ transitional stage No.	Relevé No.	Syntaxonomical affiliation	Cluster No. (DÚBRAVKOVÁ et al. 2010)
<i>Festuco-Brometea</i> Br.-Bl. et Tx. ex Soó 1947			
<i>Bromo pannonici-Festucion pallentis</i> Zólyomi 1966			
1	1–3	<i>Poo badensis</i> - <i>Caricetum humilis</i> (Dostál 1933) Soó ex Michálková in Janišová et al. 2007	3
2	4	<i>Orphantho luteae</i> - <i>Caricetum humilis</i> Kliment et Bernátová 2000	4
3	5–10	<i>Seselio leucospermi</i> - <i>Festucetum pallentis</i> Zólyomi 1936 corr. 1966 nom. invers. propos.	5
4	11–25	<i>Festuco pallentis</i> - <i>Caricetum humilis</i> Sillinger 1930 corr. Gutermann et Mucina 1993	6
5	26–31	<i>Poo badensis</i> - <i>Festucetum pallentis</i> Klika 1931 corr. Zólyomi 1966 nom. invers. propos.	6
<i>Festucion valesiacae</i> Klika 1931			
6	32	<i>Teucrio botryos</i> - <i>Andropogonetum ischaemi</i> Sauberer et Wagner in Sauberer 1942	7
7	33–37	<i>Inulo oculi-christi</i> - <i>Festucetum pseudodalmaticae</i> Májovský et Jurko 1956	8
8	38–40	<i>Festucetum pseudodalmaticae</i> Mikyška 1933	9
9	41–42	<i>Alyso heterophylli</i> - <i>Festucetum valesiacae</i> (Dostál 1933) Kliment in Kliment et al. 2000	11
10	43–102	<i>Festuco valesiacae</i> - <i>Stipetum capillatae</i> Sillinger 1930	12, 13
11	103	<i>Astragalo exscapi</i> - <i>Crambetum tatariae</i> Klika 1939 nom. invers. propos.	14
12	104	<i>Avenastro besseri</i> - <i>Stipetum joannis</i> Klika 1951 corr. Kolbek in Moravec et al. 1983	14
13	105–111	Dry grasslands on acidophilous and base-poor volcanic bedrock – transitions between <i>Festucion valesiacae</i> and <i>Koelerio-Phleion phleoidis</i> Korneck 1974	16
14	112	<i>Koelerio macranthae</i> - <i>Stipetum joannis</i> Kolbek 1978	14
15	113	<i>Stipetum tirsae</i> Meusel 1938	21
16	114–115	Successionally advanced stands of the <i>Festuco valesiacae</i> - <i>Stipetum capillatae</i>	22
17	116–118	Early stages of succession from <i>Festucion valesiacae</i> towards <i>Cirsio-Brachypodion pinnati</i> Hadač et Klika ex Klika 1951 (<i>Polygalo majoris</i> - <i>Brachypodietum pinnati</i> Wagner 1941)	23
18	119–120	Transitions from the <i>Festucion valesiacae</i> grasslands to the <i>Bromion erecti</i> Koch 1926 (<i>Brachypodio pinnati</i> - <i>Molinietum arundinaceae</i> Klika 1939) and other types of broad-leaved meadow steppes	24
19	121–123	<i>Festuco rupicolae</i> - <i>Caricetum humilis</i> Klika 1939	25
<i>Molinio-Arrhenatheretea</i> Tx. 1937			
<i>Cynosurion cristati</i> Tx. 1947 nom. cons. propos.			
–	A (sect. 3.3)	<i>Alopecuro pratensis</i> - <i>Festucetum pseudovinae</i> Juhász-Nagy 1957	18

3. Results

The relevés from Table 1 (in the Supplement) were assigned to five associations of the alliance *Bromo pannonici-Festucion pallentis* and 10 associations and four transitional stages within the alliance *Festucion valesiacae* (Table 2). Regarding the high number of associations distinguished in this paper, we only present a brief description of each (distinctive features and distribution). The detailed characteristics of the associations including the diagnostic species are available in the national surveys of the grassland vegetation in the study region (MUCINA & KOLBEK 1993, BORHIDI 2003, CHYTRÝ et al. 2007, JANIŠOVÁ 2007a) and partly also in DÚBRAVKOVÁ et al. (2010).

3.1. Description of associations of the *Bromo pannonici-Festucion pallentis*

The *Poo badensis-Caricetum humilis* (Table 1, rel. 1–3) represents open dry grasslands. It occurs on shallow soils in rocky fields in karst regions of the Slovak-Hungarian border area and in adjacent regions of the northeastern border of the Pannonian Basin. The stands are dominated by *Carex humilis*. Other caespitose grasses (*Botriochloa ischaemum*, *Festuca valesiaca*) occur in places with slightly deeper soil.

Submontane grasslands with *Carex humilis* and *Bromus monocladus* (*Orthantho luteae-Caricetum humilis*, Table 1, rel. 4) represent the most mesic grassland type within the *Bromo pannonici-Festucion pallentis*. This community occurs on limestone in the inner-Carpathian basins of northwest and central Slovakia.

The *Seselio leucospermi-Festucetum pallentis* (Table 1, rel. 5–10) comprises rocky grasslands on dolomite in the Hungarian Transdanubian Mountain Range. They contain endemic (*Seseli leucospermum*) and sub-endemic taxa (*Dianthus plumarius* subsp. *regis-stephani*) of the Pannonian region and several sub-mediterranean species (e.g. *Chrysopogon gryllus*, *Fumana procumbens*, *Paronychia cephalotes*, and *Stipa eriocaulis*).

The *Festuco pallentis-Caricetum humilis* (Table 1, rel. 11–25) includes calcareous rocky grasslands with *Carex humilis* at the western periphery of the Western Carpathians. The occurrence of chamaephytes (e.g. *Fumana procumbens*, *Rhodax canus*, *Thymus praecox*), hemicryptophytic forbs (e.g. *Leontodon incanus*, *Scorzonera austriaca*), and ephemeral annuals is characteristic. It also includes the sub-endemic *Dianthus praecox* subsp. *lumnitzeri* and the rare xerophilous moss *Pleurochaete squarrosa*.

The *Poo badensis-Festucetum pallentis* (Table 1, rel. 26–31) occurs in similar geographical regions as the previous association. It forms species-poor, open stands. It grows on steep scree slopes with limestone and dolomite bedrock. The dominating grass species is *Festuca pallens*, while chamaephytes, succulents, therophytes, and mosses are constantly present as well.

3.2. Description of associations and transitional vegetation types of the *Festucion valesiacae*

The *Teucrio botryos-Andropogonetum ischaemi* (Table 1, rel. 32) includes open grasslands on alluvial soils along large water bodies. Its species composition comprises species typical of sandy soils (e.g. *Arenaria serpyllifolia*, *Bothriochloa ischaemum*, *Petrorhagia saxifraga*, *Poa bulbosa*) and vernal ephemeral annuals.

The *Inulo oculi-christi-Festucetum pseudodalmaticae* (Table 1, rel. 33–37) represents semi-closed grasslands on sun-exposed slopes on volcanic bedrock in warm areas of the Inner Western Carpathians. It is dominated by *Festuca pseudodalmatica* and hosts thermophilous species and species of sub-mediterranean distribution (e.g. *Cleistogenes serotina*, *Cruciata pedemontana*, *Melica transsilvanica*).

The *Festucetum pseudodalmaticae* (Table 1, rel. 38–40) includes *Festuca pseudodalmatica*-dominated open rocky grasslands on volcanic bedrock with abundance of succulents, lichens, and mosses. It is a species-poorer and less thermophilous community than the *Inulo oculi-christi-Festucetum pseudodalmaticae*.

The *Alysso heterophylli-Festucetum valesiacae* (Table 1, rel. 41–42) includes steppe grasslands dominated by *Festuca valesiaca* or *F. pseudodalmatica*. It usually occurs in karst regions located at the north-eastern periphery of the Pannonian Basin. Accordingly, some particular species of this area are present in the stands (e.g. *Achillea nobilis*, *Silene donetzica*).

The *Festuco valesiacae-Stipetum capillatae* (Table 1, rel. 43–102) is the most frequent association of the *Festucion valesiacae* alliance. It is dominated by *Festuca valesiaca*, *F. rupicola*, or *Stipa capillata*. The association includes many common generalist species of central European dry grasslands (e.g. *Asperula cynanchica*, *Eryngium campestre*, *Koeleria macrantha*, *Sanguisorba minor*, *Teucrium chamaedrys*, and *Tithymalus cyparissias*), but only very few specialists of narrower amplitude. Most of the stands are abandoned at present, as shown by the presence of the competitive grasses *Arrhenatherum elatius* and *Bromus erectus* in many relevés.

The *Astragalo exscapi-Crambetum tatariae* (Table 1, rel. 103) includes dry grasslands on deep soils over loess or sands in southern Moravia (CZ). A typical feature is the presence of competitively weak continental steppe species (e.g. *Crambe tataria*, *Oxytropis pilosa*).

A rare relict continental grass, *Helictotrichon desertorum* subsp. *basalticum*, occurs in the Šibeničnick Nature Reserve in the Pavlovské vrchy Mts. (Table 1, rel. 104, Fig. 2). We classify this relevé as *Avenastro besseri-Stipetum joannis* within the *Festucion valesiacae*. The relevé includes species of sub-xerophilous character (e.g. *Festuca rupicola*, *Filipendula vulgaris*, *Plantago media* and *Salvia pratensis*), although there are also species of the *Bromo pannonici-Festucion pallentis* (e.g. *Anthericum ramosum*, *Carex humilis*, *Globularia punctata*, *Scorzonera austriaca*, and *Thymus praecox*). The stand shows some relationship to the *Festuco pallentis-Caricetum humilis* (cf. KOLBEK & BOUBLÍK 2006), which also occurs in this locality. Similar stands with *Helictotrichon desertorum* subsp. *basalticum* in the Hainburger Berge Mts. (AT) were studied by GAUCKLER (1969).

Dry grasslands on acidic (quartzite) and base-poor volcanic bedrock (Table 1, rel. 105–111) represent transitions between the *Festucion valesiacae* and the *Koelerio-Phleion*



Fig. 2: *Astragalo exscapi-Crambetum tatariae* (Table 1, rel. 101). Pavlovské vrchy Mts., Šibeničnick Nature Reserve (Photo: D. Dúbravková, 11.06.2006).

Abb. 2: *Astragalo exscapi-Crambetum tatariae* (Tab. 1, Aufn. 101). Pavlovské vrchy Mts., Naturschutzgebiet Šibeničnick (Foto: D. Dúbravková, 11.06.2006).

phleoidis, which is reflected in the presence of dry grassland species along with some low pH tolerant herbs (e.g. *Acetosella vulgaris*, *Agrostis capillaris*, *Anthoxanthum odoratum*, *Potentilla argentea*, *Steris viscaria*, and *Trifolium arvense*). In spite of some attempts (e.g. MICHÁLKOVÁ 2007: 38), the classification and nomenclature of such stands has not been sufficiently solved yet.

Relevé 112 (Table 1) was sampled in a well-known site in southern Moravia, the Pouzdřany steppe. It is dominated by *Stipa pulcherrima* and includes some species of Pannonian and sub-continental distribution (*Astragalus exscapus*, *Jurinea mollis*). We classified this relevé as *Koelerio macranthae-Stipetum joannis*.

Relevé 113 (Table 1, Fig. 3) represents a closed grassland dominated by the rare grass *Stipa tirsae*. Besides widespread species of central European dry grasslands, the *Stipetum tirsae* also contains continental and sub-mediterranean species (*Chamaecytisus austriacus*, *Scorzonera hispanica*) as well as species of meadow steppes (e.g. *Filipendula vulgaris*, *Salvia pratensis*, *Securigera varia*, and *Plantago media*).

Table 1 includes three transitional vegetation types (No. 16, 17, and 18, rel. 114–120) that represent proceeding phases of succession from xerophilous grassland of the *Festucion valesiacae* to sub-xerophilous meadow steppes (*Cirsio-Brachypodium pinnati*, *Bromion erecti*).

We suppose that relevés 114–115 (Table 1) are successional advanced stands of the *Festuco valesiacae-Stipetum capillatae*. The presence of species of dry grasslands resembles the floristic composition of the *Festuco valesiacae-Stipetum capillatae*, however the dominants are changed (*Carex humilis* and *Festuca rupicola* in great measure). The xerophilous character of the stands are less extreme compared to typically developed stands, which is indicated by the higher cover of *Avenula pubescens* and presence of fringe species of the *Geranion sanguinei* Tx. in Müller 1962 (e.g. *Geranium sanguineum*, *Vincetoxicum hirundinaria* etc.).

Early stages of succession from the *Festucion valesiacae* towards the *Cirsio-Brachypodium pinnati* are documented by the relevés 116–118 (Table 1, Fig. 4). Relevés 116 and 117 are dominated by *Stipa pulcherrima* and most probably represent successional shifted stands



Fig. 3: *Stipetum tirsae* (Table 1, rel. 112). Börzsöny Mts., Ruzsás-hegy Mt. (Photo: D. Dúbravková, 26.05.2006).

Abb. 3: *Stipetum tirsae* (Tab. 1, Aufn. 112). Börzsöny Mts., Ruzsás-hegy Mt. (Foto: D. Dúbravková, 26.05.2006).



Fig. 4: Dry grassland dominated by *Stipa pulcherrima* (Table 1, rel. 116). Malé Karpaty Mts., Pod Holým vrchom Nature Reserve. In the background, there are scree slopes with stands of the *Poo badensis-Festucetum pallentis* (Table 1, rel. 19 and 30) (Photo: D. Dúbravková, 23.6.2006).

Abb. 4: Von *Stipa pulcherrima* dominierter Trockenrasen (Tabelle 1, Aufn. 116). Malé Karpaty Mts., Naturschutzgebiet Pod Holým vrchom. Im Hintergrund erkennt man Schutthalden mit Beständen des *Poo badensis-Festucetum pallentis* (Tab. 1, Aufn. 19 und 30) (Foto: D. Dúbravková, 23.6.2006).

of the *Koelerio macranthae-Stipetum joannis*. *Inula ensifolia* took over a stand at the Bis-samberg near Vienna (rel. 118). Besides widespread species of the *Festucion valesiaca*, these relevés contain many species of forest fringes (e.g. *Geranium sanguineum*, *Inula ensifolia*, and *Peucedanum cervaria*) and meadow steppes (e.g. *Bromus erectus*, *Polygala major*). A common feature is the relatively high cover of *Peucedanum cervaria* and the presence of *Geranium sanguineum*. This indicates cessation of management activities due to which the sites became more mesic (ŠKODOVÁ 2007).

Brachypodium pinnatum and *Bromus erectus* together with *Festuca rupicola* dominate the abandoned pastures documented by relevés 119–120 (Table 1). They represent the most advanced phase of succession towards the broad-leaved meadow steppe, and they contain many species of dry grassland habitats in combination with species typical for sub-xeric meadows.

The *Festuco rupicolae-Caricetum humilis* is the least xerophilous association of the *Festucion valesiaca*. Relevés 121–123 (Table 1) represent closed grasslands with *Festuca rupicola*, generalists of dry grasslands, and some common sub-xerophilous and mesophilous species.

3.3. *Alopecuro pratensis-Festucetum pseudovinae*

Additionally, we present a new relevé of the association *Alopecuro pratensis-Festucetum pseudovinae* (Rel. A, see below; Fig. 5), which was classified in the *Cynosurion cristati* (*Molinio-Arrhenatheretea*) by JANIŠOVÁ (2007a), although it shows relationship to the continental dry grasslands of the *Festucion valesiaca*. This species-poor vegetation includes both species of hay meadows and dry grasslands. It occupies the edges or the mounds in river floodplains not directly affected by ground water. Relevé A was sampled in the alluvium of the Slaná river, South Slovakia. In 2009, we visited sites in the Slaná river floodplain that had

been sampled by ŘEHOŘEK (1969: Table 7; under the association name “*Festucetum sulcatae-pseudovinae* Řehořek 1969 ms.”, Art. 1 ICPN). The site of relevé A was the only one where vegetation of the *Alopecuro pratensis-Festucetum pseudovinae* had maintained until recent times. All the other sites were ploughed or successionaly changed into shrubbery. The association also occurs in the lowlands of eastern Slovakia (RUŽIČKOVÁ 1971) and the northern part of the Great Hungarian Plain (JUHÁSZ-NAGY 1957), but the recent state of these localities also needs a revision. Given the insufficient knowledge of the actual distribution of the *Alopecuro pratensis-Festucetum pseudovinae*, this relevé is important as a unique record of this association in Slovakia.

Relevé A:

SK, Rimavská kotlina basin, Chanava, Slaná river floodplain, NE of the village, a cattle pasture just behind the river embankment, 48° 20' 46" N, 20° 19' 01" E, 165 m a.s.l.; 25 m², cover total 85%, cover herb layer 85%, cover bryophyte and lichen layer 0%, cover of litter 45%, field number IŠ02/09, 2009/06/15, IŠ, DD, KH & MJ.

E₁: *Festuca pseudovina* 3, *F. rupicola* 2b, *Convolvulus arvensis* 2b, *Elytrigia repens* 2a, *Acetosa thyrsiflora* 2a, *Potentilla reptans* 2m, *Carex hirta* 1, *C. praecox* 1, *Galium verum* 1, *Glechoma hederacea* agg. 1, *Holcus lanatus* 1, *Lotus corniculatus* agg. 1, *Plantago lanceolata* 1, *Poa pratensis* agg. 1, *Tithymalus esula* 1, *Achillea millefolium* agg. +, *Dactylis glomerata* +, *Geranium pusillum* +, *Plantago media* +, *Potentilla argentea* +, *Silene dioica* +, *Taraxacum* sect. *Ruderalia* +, *Astragalus glycyphyllos* r, *Capsella bursa-pastoris* r.

4. Discussion

4.1. The syntaxonomical system applied

Our data were sampled in four central European countries (Austria, Czech Republic, Hungary, Slovakia) within a large geographical area. The recently used systems of syntaxonomical classification of dry grasslands differ significantly in these countries (cf. MUCINA & KOLBEK 1993, BORHIDI 2003, CHYTRÝ et al. 2007, JANIŠOVÁ 2007a). Some of them present various association names with similar or identical content. For this reason, neither applica-



Fig. 5: *Alopecuro pratensis-Festucetum pseudovinae* (section 3.3, rel. A). Rimavská kotlina basin, Chanava, Slaná river floodplain (Photo: D. Dúbravková, 15.6.2009).

Abb. 5: *Alopecuro pratensis-Festucetum pseudovinae* (Kap. 3.3, Aufn. A). Rimavská kotlina Becken, Chanava, Slaná-Aue (Foto: D. Dúbravková, 15.6.2009).

tion of a single classification nor application of a combination of these classification systems to our data would lead to an unambiguous result. An internationally compatible classification of dry grasslands is missing; a call for such a consistent international survey in SE Europe was also expressed by RUPRECHT et al. (2009). The situation is different in case of describing the variability of semi-dry grassland vegetation: a large-scale study was undertaken recently by ILLYÉS et al. (2007). We lack an international syntaxonomical typology of European dry grasslands, in which all associations would be defined by unequivocal assignment criteria created by a supervised classification (e.g. the Cocktail method; BRUELHEIDE 1995, 2000, BRUELHEIDE & CHYTRÝ 2000). However, a study that describes patterns in species composition of dry grasslands in the Carpatho-Pannonian region using an unsupervised classification method (modified TWINSpan algorithm; ROLECEK et al. 2009) was published by DÚBRAVKOVÁ et al. (2010). A part of our unpublished relevés was included directly in the data set used in the previous study. For this reason, we took advantage of having the possibility to compare all our unpublished data to this geographically stratified dataset consisting of a great number of relevés (nearly 2700) from the same region. Thus, we additionally documented clusters revealed from that large-scale study by individual relevés. We believe to have classified our relevés as accurately as possible in a sense of comprising the complete large-scale variability of dry grasslands in central Europe.

4.2. Relevés difficult to assign

The gradient of environmental conditions of dry grassland sites is continuous. Therefore, the species composition of a few relevés was in transition to ecologically similar vegetation types. Some relevés classified with the FPGI showed a high affinity to more than one related cluster. In these cases, we also took into consideration the assignment of similar relevés sampled in the same area, which influenced the final classification of these specific relevés. This concerns the following relevés from Table 1 (in the Supplement):

- Relevé 32 sampled in a Danube river terrace (Ostrov Kopáč) was assigned to a rare association, *Teucrio botryos-Andropogonetum ischaemi*; however, it shows some relationship to the *Festuco valesiacae-Stipetum capillatae*.
- Relevé 41 assigned to *Alyso heterophylli-Festucetum valesiacae* is related to *Festuco rupicolae-Caricetum humilis*.
- Relevés 46 and 47 from the Belianske kopce hills and relevé 74 from the Leitha-Gebirge were assigned to the *Festuco valesiacae-Stipetum capillatae*, the central association of central European *Festucion valesiacae*; however, they show some relationship to the *Salvio nemorosae-Festucetum rupicolae* Zólyomi ex Soó 1959, representing the continental steppe grasslands with *Festuca rupicola* on loess in northern Hungary (HORVÁTH 2002, ILLYÉS & BÖLÖNI 2007).
- Relevés 78 and 102 classified within *Festuco valesiacae-Stipetum capillatae* and relevé 104 assigned to *Avenastro besseri-Stipetum joannis* include many species of the *Bromo pannonici-Festucion pallentis* and may represent a transition to the *Festuco pallentis-Caricetum humilis*.
- Relevé 121 assigned to *Festuco rupicolae-Caricetum humilis* is transitional between this association and the *Festuco valesiacae-Stipetum capillatae*.

4.3. Geographical principles in distribution of dry grassland associations

The geographical distribution of some associations included in this paper is restricted to certain parts of the study area. The site conditions and the regional species pool seem to be the most important factors influencing the distribution of the individual types of dry grassland vegetation.

Very narrow restrictions of individual associations to certain regions of the study area are obvious especially for associations of the *Bromo pannonici-Festucion pallentis* alliance. Some associations developing in environmentally similar conditions are vicarious in their geographical distribution (JANIŠOVÁ & DÚBRAVKOVÁ in press). The *Poo badensis-Caricetum humilis* (NE periphery of the Pannonian Basin), the *Festuco pallentis-Caricetum humilis* (W border of the Western Carpathians), and the *Seselio leucospermi-Festucetum pallentis* (Transdanubian Mountain Range in Hungary) represent geographical vicariads of dry grass-

lands dominated by *Carex humilis* on shallow soils over limestone or dolomite bedrock. Each of these associations is characterised by a set of species that reflects the regional species pool.

The species composition of dry grasslands of the *Festucion valesiacae* at supra-regional scale, however, seems to be more homogeneous. We suppose that one of the most important factors decreasing the beta-diversity of *Festucion valesiacae* grasslands is their overgrazing in the last centuries (ELLENBERG 1996). Some of the associations are specific in their species composition (e.g. *Alyso heterophylli-Festucetum valesiacae* and *Inulo oculi-christi-Festuetum pseudodalmaticae*). However, there are associations (*Festuco valesiacae-Stipetum capitatae*, *Festuco rupicola-Caricetum humilis*) that include mainly species of wide ecological amplitude (generalists) and lack species of narrow amplitude (specialists), so that it is very difficult to define diagnostic species for them. These “central” associations in the sense of DENGLE et al. (2008) do not show any strong preference to a certain geographical region and are widely distributed over the study area.

Some of the studied associations (*Astragalo exscapi-Crambetum tatariae*, *Avenastro besseri-Stipetum joannis*, *Stipetum tirsae*) are characterised by the occurrence of rare taxa (e.g. *Astragalus excapus*, *Crambe tataria*, *Helictotrichon desertorum* subsp. *basalticum* and *Stipa tirsae*), and their distribution is thus conditioned by the distribution of these species. The occurrence of some rare associations (e.g. *Alopecuro pratensis-Festucetum pseudovinae*, *Teucrio botryos-Andropogonetum ischaemi*, and also *Astragalo exscapi-Crambetum tatariae*) is limited due to a lack of suitable sites. Many of these sites have been destroyed by human activities, such as ploughing and afforestation, in the past.

4.4. *Stipa pulcherrima*-dominated stands

An interesting point to discuss is the syntaxonomical status of *Stipa pulcherrima*-dominated stands in the Carpatho-Pannonian region. Their classification was rather inconsistent in older phytosociological literature. In some papers, such stands were classified as separate newly described syntaxa (e.g. SILLINGER 1930, Považský Inovec Mts., SK: *Stipetum pulcherrimae*; JAKUCS 1954, Aggteleki-karszt Mts., HU: *Caricetum humilis* társulás *Stipa pulcherrima* szubasszociációja [Art. 3, 31 ICPN]; HÁBEROVÁ et al. 1988, Slovenský kras Mts., SK: *Poo badensis-Caricetum humilis stipetosum pulcherrimae* [Art. 2b ICPN]; TICHÝ et al. 1997, Dyje river valley, CZ: *Inulo oculi-christi-Stipetum pulcherrimae*). Besides the high cover of *Stipa pulcherrima* and a low species richness of these communities, these syntaxa lacked common features and were thus never included in national vegetation overviews.

Summing up the information from the most recent surveys of dry grasslands (CHYTRÝ et al. 2007, ILLYÉS & BÖLÖNI 2007, JANIŠOVÁ 2007a, DÚBRAVKOVÁ et al. 2010), there are two main types of grasslands dominated or co-dominated by *Stipa pulcherrima* in the study area. Dry grasslands on relatively steep slopes with shallow calcareous rocky soils with presence of species of the *Bromo pannonici-Festucion pallentis* belong to the first type. *Stipa pulcherrima*, as a competitive grass, expands into the sites disturbed by soil erosion, which were originally dominated by *Carex humilis* and *Festuca pallens*, and forms successional advanced vegetation (JAKUCS 1955). Such vegetation should be classified within the associations of *Bromo pannonici-Festucion pallentis*, from which it was successional derived (cf. JANIŠOVÁ 2007b, DÚBRAVKOVÁ-MICHÁLKOVÁ et al. 2008).

Another type of vegetation dominated by *Stipa pulcherrima* is represented by (semi-) closed grasslands at sites with deeper soils over loess, calcareous flysch sandstones, and conglomerates located on gentle slopes of hilly landscapes. These grasslands include common dry grassland species (e.g. *Colymbada scabiosa*, *Crinitina lynosyris*, *Galium glaucum*, and *Teucrium chamaedrys*) and some species present in continental steppes (e.g. *Adonis vernalis*, *Astragalus* spp., *Festuca valesiaca*, *Jurinea mollis*, and *Pseudolysimachion spicatum*), which indicates a syntaxonomical affiliation with the *Festucion valesiacae* alliance (ILLYÉS & BÖLÖNI 2007, KUZEMKO 2009). Such stands represent either natural steppe vegetation or secondary communities developed after deforestation. CHYTRÝ et al. (2007) proposed their classification within a broadly defined association *Koelerio macranthae-Stipetum joannis*,

which also includes grasslands dominated by other penniform *Stipa* species. Relevé 112 (Table 1) is a good example of this association. Relevés 116 and 117, however, represent successional stands of *Koeleria macranthae-Stipetum joannis*. The steppic grasslands with *Stipa pulcherrima* occurring at large flat sites are quite rare in Slovakia, while they are more abundant in other parts of the study area. One of the few localities is the Pod Holým vrchom Nature Reserve (NE foothills of the Malé Karpaty Mts., SK; Table 1, rel. 116, Fig. 4). The site became more mesic, which is indicated by the presence of species of forest fringes and meadow steppe. The real reason for such a successional shift is not clear. The relevé plot was selected in a large homogeneous site, which was not in direct contact with a forest edge. Mowing or grazing, which might have disturbed these grasslands according to CHYTRÝ et al. (2007), has not been practised here since the 1970s (D. Válková pers. comm.). We assume that some extensive management activities are also needed in this type of dry grasslands.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Eszter Illyés, Ján Kliment, †Štefan Maglocký, Jana Májeková, Ján Miškovic, József Nagy, Helena Rosinová, Janka Smatanová, Katarína Vidékyová, and Wolfgang Willner for field assistance. Specimens of some problematic genera were revised by Jiří Danihelka (*Achillea*, *Stipa*), Jindřich Chrtěk jun. (*Hieracium*, *Pilosella*), Pavol Mártonfi (*Thymus*), Eleonóra Michalková (*Odontites*, *Orphantha*), Petr Šmarda (*Festuca*), and Jiří Zázvorka (*Orobancha*). Ivan Pišut determined the lichens and Anna Petrášová the bryophytes. Dušan Senko helped to create Fig. 1. We also thank Jürgen Dengler and two anonymous reviewers for constructive comments on former version of the manuscript and Aiko Huckauf for language editing. This study was supported by the grant VEGA 2/0181/09 and the grant SK0115 through the EEA Financial Mechanism, the Norwegian Financial Mechanism, and the state budget of the Slovak Republic.

Appendix A: Origin of the relevés in Table 1

Anhang A: Herkunft der Aufnahmen in Tabelle 1

The entries are structured as follows: relevé number, ISO country code, detailed description of the locality, longitude, latitude, field number, soil and bedrock type, date (year/month/day), relevé author(s) (DD – D. Dúbravková, KH – K. Hegedúšová, MJ – M. Janišová, IŠ – I. Škodová).

Die Einträge sind folgendermaßen aufgebaut: Aufnahmenummer, ISO-Ländercode, Fundort, geografische Länge und Breite, Geländenummer, Boden- und Gesteinstyp, Datum (Jahr/Monat/Tag), Urheber der Aufnahme (DD – D. Dúbravková, KH – K. Hegedúšová, MJ – M. Janišová, IŠ – I. Škodová).

1. SK, Čierna hora Mts, Košice – Podhradová, dry grassland below elevation point Hradová, 21°14'23" E, 48°45'23" N, 63/05, brown loamy and rocky soil, limestone, 2005/07/11, DD, KH, IŠ & MJ; 2. SK, Čierna hora Mts, Družstevná pri Hornáde, Malá Vieska, N part of village, W of elevation point Vápenná, a hillside above the road, 21°14'25" E, 48°48'25" N, 64/05, limestone, 2005/07/11, DD, KH, IŠ & MJ; 3. SK, Čierna hora Mts, Družstevná pri Hornáde, Malá Vieska, N part of village, W of elevation point Vápenná, a hillside above the road, 21°14'22" E, 48°48'24" N, 25 m², 65/05, limestone, 2005/07/11, DD, KH, IŠ & MJ; 4. SK, Turčianska kotlina basin, Moškovec, Priboje, a hillside above a road NE of the village, 18°49'55" E, 48°56'46" N, 53/06, a fluvial gravel terrace, 2006/06/06, DD & MJ; 5. HU, Pilis Mts, Solymár (NW of Budapest), Szénások, Felso Zsíros-hegy hill, by a tourist trail, 18°52'46" E, 47°35'25" N, 14/06, rendzina over dolomite, 2006/05/23, DD & E. Illyés; 6. HU, Pilis Mts, Solymár (NW of Budapest), Szénások, a foothill, near a mobile phone antenna post, 18°52'54" E, 47°35'19" N, 17/06, rendzina over dolomite, 2006/05/23, DD & E. Illyés; 7. HU, Budai-hegysek Mts, Budaörs, Odvas-hegy Mt. Nature Reserve, above a tourist trail, WSW of the hilltop, 18°56'20" E, 47°27'55" N, 18/06, rocky soil, dolomite, 2006/05/24, DD; 8. HU, Budai-hegysek Mts, Budaörs, Odvas-hegy Mt. Nature Reserve, 18°56'33" E, 47°27'59" N, 20/06, dolomite, 2006/05/24, DD; 9. HU, Budai-hegysek Mts, Budaörs, Odvas-hegy Mt. Nature Reserve, elevation point 258, near a calvary hill, 18°57'06" E, 47°28'09" N, 22/06, crumbly dolomite rendzina, dolomite, 2006/05/24, DD; 10. HU, Vértes Mts, Csákvár, Haraszt-hegy, about 5 m below a plateau edge near an interpretive trail, 18°26'29" E, 47°23'51" N, 32/06, very shallow soil on dolomite crumbly gravel, 2006/05/28, DD; 11. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Lančár, Chrib Nature Reserve, near the bell tower, 17°38'57" E, 48°36'00" N, 76/06, dolomite, 2006/06/21, DD; 12. AT, Burgenland, Leitha-Gebirge Mts, Purbach, Purbacher Heide (ÖK 78/19), 16°41'00" E, 48°55'19" N, 04/07, shallow soil, limestone (Leithakalk), 2007/06/20, DD & W. Willner; 13. SK, Strážovské vrchy Mts, a

hillside above the road between Látkovce and Dolné Vestenice, 18°22'22" E, 48°42'55" N, 68/06, limestone, 2006/06/13, DD, MJ & J. Smatanová; 14. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Bratislava, Devínska Kobyla National Nature Reserve, a grassland below an interpretive trail between Sandberg and the Weitov lom quarry, 16°58'45" E, 48°11'50" N, 01/05, sandstone, 2005/05/12, DD & J. Miškovic; 15. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Bratislava, Devínska Kobyla National Nature Reserve, a hillside between Sandberg and the Weitov lom quarry, closer to the quarry, 16°58'49" E, 48°11'44" N, 02/05, sandy soil, sandstone, 2005/05/12, DD & J. Miškovic; 16. CZ, Pavlovské vrchy Mts, Mikulov, Svatý kopeček hill, a hillside of the forested hilltop with the Sepulchre of Christ, 16°38'59" E, 48°48'26" N, 56/06, 2006/06/09, DD; 17. SK, Strážovské vrchy Mts, Eutov, N of the village, Bradlo National Nature Reserve, E ridge, 18°17'09" E, 48°47'48" N, 65/06, limestone, 2006/06/12, DD & J. Smatanová; 18. CZ, Pavlovské vrchy Mts, Perná, Pálava Mt., about 80 m to the right of ruins of St. Antonín chapel, 16°38'17" E, 48°51'28" N, 59/06, shallow rocky soil, limestone, 2006/06/10 DD; 19. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Dolný Lopašov, middle part of an abandoned quarry NNW of the village, 17°38'07" E, 48°35'16" N, 80/06, dolomite, 2006/06/23, DD; 20. CZ, Pavlovské vrchy Mts, Mikulov, Šibeníčník Nature Reserve, 16°37'48" E, 48°47'22" N, 62/06, 2006/06/11, DD; 21. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Dobrá Voda, dry grassland above a road to an open-air swimming pool, 17°31'15" E, 48°36'05" N, 69/05, shallow soil (15 cm) with humus, dolomite, 2005/08/21, DD; 22. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Dolný Lopašov, Pod Holým vrchom Nature Reserve, N part of reserve, 17°37'20" E, 48°35'14" N, 77/06, rocky soil (rendzina) under a shallow humus layer (10 cm), dolomite, 2006/06/23, DD; 23. AT, Lower Austria, Hundsheim, Hundsheimer Berg Mt. Nature Reserve (ÖK 61/11), near the plateau above the village, 16°56'20" E, 48°07'30" N, 14/07, shallow soil, limestone, 2007/06/27, DD & KH; 24. AT, Lower Austria, Hainburg, Braunsberg Mt. (ÖK 61/14), a hillside below the wooden replica of a Celtic fort, 16°57'07" E, 48°09'11" N, 16/07, limestone, 2007/06/27, DD & KH; 25. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Hrachovište, Veľký Plešivec Nature Reserve, SE hillside, 17°44'12" E, 48°42'05" N, 100/06, rocky crumbly soil, dolomite, 2006/08/17, DD; 26. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Bratislava, Devínska Kobyla National Nature Reserve, Weitov lom quarry, grassland on an overhanging rock on the upper terrace, 16°58'54" E, 48°11'41" N, 03/05, friable sandy soil with rocks, sandstone, 2005/05/12, DD & J. Miškovic; 27. SK, Považský Inovec Mts, Lúka, Bôrovište, SW of the hilltop, 17°54'21" E, 48°40'20" N, 97/06, shallow rocky soil, dolomite, 2006/08/15, DD & Š. Maglocký; 28. SK, Strážovské vrchy Mts, Mitické vrchy, Dolné Vestenice, dry grassland on a hillside above a road to an open-air cinema, 18°23'18" E, 48°42'28" N, 25 m², 69/06, limestone, 2006/06/13, DD, KH, MJ & IŠ; 29. SK, Strážovské vrchy Mts, Uhrovec, top of a rock above a road to the Striebornica valley, 18°20'33" E, 48°44'53" N, 67/06, limestone, 2006/06/13, DD & J. Smatanová; 30. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Dolný Lopašov, hillside of an abandoned quarry NNW of the village, 50 m from the road, 17°38'10" E, 48°35'15" N, 81/06, shallow rocky soil, dolomite, 2006/06/23, DD; 31. SK, Strážovské vrchy Mts, Podlužany, Eutovský Drienovec National Nature Reserve, Vysoká, dry grassland on a tourist trail, 18°16'22" E, 48°48'17" N, 2 m, 66/06, shallow rocky soil, 2006/06/12, DD, MJ & J. Smatanová; 32. SK, Podunajská rovina lowland, Bratislava, Ostrov Kopáč National Nature Reserve, 17°09'38" E, 48°05'42" N, 68/05, gravelly soil, 2005/08/03, DD & K. Vidékýová; 33. SK, Krupinská planina hills, Příbelce, Holice National Nature Reserve, grassland in a sand quarry, 19°14'47" E, 48°11'50" N, 86/06, sandy soil, 2006/06/27, DD & MJ; 34. HU, Börzsöny Mts, Ipolytölgyes, Bánya-hegy Mt., a foothill above a little quarry, 18°47'44" E, 47°55'07" N, 25 m², 23/06, ranker, andesite, 2006/05/25, DD & J. Nagy; 35. HU, Börzsöny Mts, Letkősz, Galla tisztás, Nagy-Galla hilltop, 18°49'38" E, 47°52'24" N, 26/06, shallow soil (3–5 cm), andesite, 2006/05/26, DD & J. Nagy; 36. HU, Börzsöny Mts, Ipolydamazd, Jama, 312 m a.s.l., 18°50'04" E, 47°51'07" N, 27/06, 2006/05/26, DD & J. Nagy; 37. HU, Börzsöny Mts, Szob, Ruzsás-hegy Mt., 18°52'49" E, 47°49'53" N, 25 m², 30/06, 2006/05/26, DD & J. Nagy; 38. SK, Krupinská planina hills, Horné Plachtince, Skala Mt. (elevation point 493.6), 19°17'44" E, 48°14'17" N, 85/06, volcanic bedrock, 2006/06/27, DD & MJ; 39. SK, Štiavnické vrchy Mts, left of the road between Krupina and Žibritov, Ficberg Mt., a steep scree hillside in a quarry, 19°02'22" E, 48°22'35" N, 87/06, relatively deep soil-filled gaps among boulders, volcanic bedrock, 2006/06/28, DD & MJ; 40. SK, Štiavnické vrchy Mts, left of the road between Krupina and Žibritov, Ficberg Mt., a foothill of a quarry above a road, 19°02'24" E, 48°22'36" N, 88/06, volcanic bedrock, 2006/06/28, DD & MJ; 41. SK, Revúcka vrchovina hills (Drienčanský kras), Hrušovo, a large pasture N of the village (Závoz), below an elevation point 362, 20°03'05" E, 48°31'22" N, 90/06, 2006/07/05, DD & J. Kliment; 42. SK, Revúcka vrchovina hills (Drienčanský kras), by a bridge between Drienčany and Hrušov, SW foothill of Holý vrch Mt., 20°03'26" E, 48°29'40" N, 91/06, 2006/07/05, DD, J. Kliment, J. Smatanová, K. Vidékýová & T. Miháliková; 43. SK, Hronská pahorkatina hills (Belianske kopce), Mužla, Dank hill (elevation point 220.9), above the vineyards; the site was in fire a few years ago, 18°38'39" E, 47°49'06" N, 04/05, friable soil with rocks, andesite covered with loess, 2005/05/16, DD; 44. SK, Hronská pahorkatina hills (Belianske kopce), Mužla, Dank hill (elevation point 220.9), above the vineyards, 18°38'40" E, 47°49'07" N, 05/05, andesite covered with loess, 2005/05/16, DD; 45. SK, Hronská pahorkatina hills (Belianske kopce), Mužla, Dank hill (elevation point 220.9), about 200 m W of the hilltop, 18°38'37" E, 47°49'07" N, 06/05,

andesite covered with loess, 2005/05/16, DD; 46. SK, Hronská pahorkatina hills (Belianske kopce), Mužla, Dank hill (elevation point 220.9), SE hillside, above the vineyards, 18°38'49" E, 47°49'05" N, 07/05, andesite covered with loess, 2005/05/17, DD; 47. SK, Hronská pahorkatina hills (Belianske kopce), Mužla, Starý vrch hill, about 100 m below the hill ridge, 18°38'01" E, 47°49'02" N, 08/05, rocky soil, andesite covered with loess, 2005/05/17, DD; 48. SK, Hronská pahorkatina hills (Belianske kopce), Mužla, Starý vrch hill, N of an abandoned quarry in a valley between Dank and Starý vrch, 18°38'02" E, 47°49'06" N, 10/05, rocky soil, andesite covered with loess, 2005/05/17, DD; 49. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Višňové, left of a tourist trail on the ridge of Čachtice castle Mt., 17°45'42" E, 48°43'27" N, 47/05, dolomite, 2005/06/27, DD, KH & IŠ; 50. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Čachtice, Drapliak Mt., W of a quarry, 17°47'20" E, 48°43'35" N, 49/05, limestone, 2005/06/27, DD, KH & IŠ; 51. SK, Tríbeč Mts (Zoborské vrchy), Nitra, Zoborská lesostep National Nature Reserve, 18°05'41" E, 48°20'51" N, 50/05, limestone, 2005/06/28, DD, KH & IŠ; 52. SK, Tríbeč Mts (Zoborské vrchy), Nitra, Zoborská lesostep National Nature Reserve, in top part, 18°05'47" E, 48°20'57" N, 51/05, relatively deep soil, limestone, 2005/06/28, DD & KH; 53. SK, Tríbeč Mts (Zoborské vrchy), Dražovce, Plieška hill, 18°05'06" E, 48°21'12" N, 52/05, limestone, 2005/06/28, DD, KH, IŠ & H. Rosinová; 54. SK, Tríbeč Mts (Zoborské vrchy), Nitra, Lupka hill, 18°04'35" E, 48°20'18" N, 53/05, limestone, 2005/06/28, DD, KH, IŠ & H. Rosinová; 55. SK, Tríbeč Mts (Zoborské vrchy), Štitáre, Haranč Mt., in top part near an open fireplace, 18°08'28" E, 48°21'26" N, 54/05, limestone, 2005/06/29, DD & KH; 56. SK, Tríbeč Mts (Zoborské vrchy), Štitáre, Žibrica National Nature Reserve, Žibrické lúky meadows, right of a tourist trail, 18°08'47" E, 48°21'50" N, 55/05, shallow soil, limestone, the bedrock uncovered in some parts, 2005/06/29, DD, KH, IŠ & H. Rosinová; 57. SK, Tríbeč Mts (Zoborské vrchy), Štitáre, Žibrica National Nature Reserve, Žibrické lúky meadows, below a hilltop, 18°08'58" E, 48°22'00" N, 56/05, rocky soil, limestone, 2005/06/29, DD, KH, IŠ & H. Rosinová; 58. SK, Tríbeč Mts (Zoborské vrchy), Nitra, Kalvária (Calvary hill), near the hilltop, 18°05'25" E, 48°17'50" N, 57/05, rocky soil, limestone, 2005/06/29, DD, KH, IŠ & H. Rosinová; 59. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Bratislava, Sandberg Mt., a hill with a small water company building above the road to Devín, 16°58'20" E, 48°12'03" N, 01/06, sandy soil, sandstone, 2006/05/15, DD & KH; 60. SK, Považský Inovec Mts, Beckov, Skalka pri Beckove Nature Reserve, a rocky hill in an arable field near the road to Rakofuby, 17°53'34" E, 48°46'19" N, 71/06, limestone, 2006/06/14, DD; 61. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Prašník, Tlstá hora Mt., Čerenec Nature Reserve, in top part of the reserve, 17°41'15" E, 48°38'41" N, 72/06, conglomerates and sandstones, 2006/06/21, DD; 62. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Prašník, Tlstá hora Mt., Čerenec Nature Reserve, dry grassland on W hillside, 17°41'12" E, 48°38'43" N, 73/06, conglomerates and sandstone, 2006/06/21, DD; 63. SK, Považský Inovec Mts, Hlohovec, Sedliská Nature Reserve, below a hilltop, 17°49'23" E, 48°26'53" N, 98/06, limestone covered with loess, 2006/08/17, DD; 64. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Hrachovište, Veľký Plešivec Nature Reserve, SE hillside, 17°44'13" E, 48°42'05" N, 101/06, loamy soil, dolomite, 2006/08/17, DD; 65. HU, Visegrádi-hegység Mts, Ostrihom, Búbánat valley, Szamár-hegy Mt., a mound next to the hilltop, 18°47'56" E, 47°48'15" N, 09/06, andesite, 2006/05/22, DD & E. Illyés; 66. HU, Budai-hegysek Mts, Budaörs, Odvas-hegy Mt. Nature Reserve, near the hilltop, 18°56'48" E, 47°28'04" N, 21/06, dark rendzina, dolomite, 2006/05/24, DD; 67. HU, Visegrádi-hegység Mts, Ostrihom, Búbánat valley, Szamár-hegy Mt., hilltop, 18°47'50" E, 47°48'23" N, 10/06, andesite, 2006/05/22, DD & E. Illyés; 68. HU, Pilis Mts, Kestölc, Kétágú-hegy Mt., 18°47'36" E, 47°43'36" N, 11/06, limestone, 2006/05/22, DD & E. Illyés; 69. HU, Budai-hegysek Mts, Budaörs, Odvas-hegy Mt. Nature Reserve, 18°56'24" E, 47°27'56" N, 19/06, dolomite, 2006/05/24, DD; 70. HU, Vértes Mts, Csákvár, foothill of Öreg-hegy Mt., S of an interpretive trail, 18°26'05" E, 47°23'00" N, 33/06, 2006/05/28, DD; 71. CZ, Pavlovské vrchy Mts, Milovice, Milovická stráň Nature Reserve, part of the hillside located further from village and sports ground, 16°41'36" E, 48°50'53" N, 55/06, 2006/06/09, DD; 72. CZ, Pavlovské vrchy Mts, Klentnice, Stolová hora Mt., E hillside, just opposite Pension Blanka, 16°38'23" E, 48°50'30" N, 58/06, 2006/06/10, DD; 73. CZ, Pavlovské vrchy Mts, Pavlov, Děvín Mt., 16°38'55" E, 48°51'54" N, 60/06, 2006/06/10, DD; 74. AT, Burgenland, Leitha-Gebirge Mts, Donnerskirchen, Kirchberg Mt. (ÖK 78/21), 16°38'24" E, 47°54'05" N, 03/07, relatively deep soil, limestone (Leithakalk), 2007/06/20, DD & W. Willner; 75. SK, Podunajská rovina lowland, Bratislava, Ostrov Kopáč National Nature Reserve, 17°09'32" E, 48°05'44" N, 67/05, sandy soil without rocks, 2005/08/03, DD & K. Vidékyová; 76. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Višňové, foothill of the Čachtice castle hill, left of a tourist trail, 17°45'28" E, 48°43'26" N, 45/05, dolomite, 2005/06/27, DD, KH & IŠ; 77. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Čachtice, SSE foothill of Drapliak Mt., above a chalet village, left of a quarry, 17°47'22" E, 48°43'29" N, 48/05, rocky soil, limestone, 2005/06/27, DD, KH & IŠ; 78. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Višňové, below a tourist trail on the ridge of Čachtice castle hill, 17°45'45" E, 48°43'21" N, 46/05, dolomite, 2005/06/27, DD, KH & IŠ; 79. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Dechtice, Katarína Nature Reserve, dry grassland next to the church altar ruins, 17°32'25" E, 48°33'15" N, 58/05, conglomerates, 2005/07/03, DD; 80. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Lančár, Lančársky Dubník Nature Reserve, a hilltop above a quarry, 17°38'38" E, 48°35'32" N, 74/06, shallow soil (15 cm), dolomite, 2006/06/21, DD & J. Májeková; 81. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Lančár,

below the Lančár bell tower, on the left side of the road, 17°38'55" E, 48°35'58" N, 75/06, dolomite, 2006/06/21, DD & J. Májeková; 82. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Dolný Lopašov, Pod Holým vrchom Nature Reserve, 17°37'30" E, 48°35'07" N, 2 m, 78/06, relatively deep soil (rendzina), dolomite, 2006/06/23, DD; 83. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Dolný Lopašov, top of an abandoned quarry NNW of the village, 17°38'09" E, 48°35'17" N, 82/06, dolomite, 2006/06/23, DD; 84. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Bratislava, Štokeravská vápenka Nature Reserve, lower grassland above a garden village, 17°00'22" E, 48°12'11" N, 93/06, relatively shallow soil, dolomites, 2006/07/13, DD & K. Vidékyová; 85. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Bratislava, Štokeravská vápenka Nature Reserve, lower grassland just above Technické sklo factory, 17°00'21" E, 48°12'10" N, 95/06, dolomites, 2006/07/13, DD & K. Vidékyová; 86. SK, Považský Inovec Mts, Lúka, Lúčanská dolina valley, a hillside above a tourist trail, 17°53'50" E, 48°39'56" N, 96/06, dolomite, 2006/08/15, DD & Š. Maglocký; 87. SK, Považský Inovec Mts, Hlohovec, Sedliská Nature Reserve, about 100 m below an information panel, 17°49'24" E, 48°26'52" N, 2 m, 99/06, limestone covered by loess, 2006/08/17, DD; 88. HU, Pilis Mts, Kestölc, Kétágú-hegy, a foothill, 18°47'29" E, 47°43'36" N, 12/06, limestone, 2006/05/22, DD & E. Illyés; 89. HU, Pilis Mts, Kestölc, Kétágú-hegy, a foothill, 18°47'28" E, 47°43'35" N, 13/06, rendzina over limestone, 2006/05/22, DD & E. Illyés; 90. HU, Pilis Mts, Solymár (NW of Budapest), Szénások, Felső Zsíros-hegy Mt., in direction towards Nagy-Szenás-hegy Mt., N of the hilltop, 18°52'30" E, 47°35'36" N, 15/06, rendzina over dolomite, 2006/05/23, DD & E. Illyés; 91. HU, Pilis Mts, Solymár (NW of Budapest), Szénások, Nagy-Szenás-hegy Mt., E of the hilltop, 18°52'18" E, 47°35'25" N, 16/06, rendzina over dolomite, 2006/05/23, DD & E. Illyés; 92. HU, Börzsöny Mts, Ipolydamazd, Jama, lower part of the hill, 18°49'55" E, 47°50'56" N, 28/06, relatively deep soil, 2006/05/26, DD & J. Nagy; 93. HU, Vértes Mts, Csákvár, Haraszt-hegy Mt., edge of a plateau near an interpretive trail, 18°26'29" E, 47°23'41" N, 31/06, 2006/05/28, DD; 94. CZ, Pavlovské vrchy Mts, Mikulov, Svátý kopeček hill, a plateau behind a chapel near the Sepulchre of Christ next to a tourist trail, 16°38'52" E, 48°48'25" N, 57/06, 2006/06/09, DD; 95. AT, Burgenland, Leitha-Gebirge Mts, Donnerskirchen, Kirchberg Mt. (ÖK 78/21), 16°38'27" E, 47°54'02" N, 02/07, shallow soil, limestone (Leithakalk), 2007/06/20, DD & W. Willner; 96. AT, Burgenland, Leitha-Gebirge Mts, Purbach, Purbacher Heide (ÖK 78/19), 16°40'52" E, 47°55'18" N, 05/07, limestone (Leithakalk), 2007/06/20, DD & W. Willner; 97. AT, Burgenland, Jois, Hackelsberg Nature Reserve (ÖK 78/15), 16°46'16" E, 47°57'10" N, 06/07, 2007/06/20, DD & W. Willner; 98. AT, Lower Austria, Weinviertel, Maustrenk (ÖK 25/13), N of a gravel quarry, 16°41'50" E, 48°33'39" N, 07/07, tertiary gravel and conglomerate, 2007/06/21, DD & W. Willner; 99. AT, Lower Austria, Weinviertel, Falkenstein, above an abandoned quarry (ÖK 25/3), 16°35'18" E, 48°43'41" N, 09/07, 2007/06/21, DD & W. Willner; 100. AT, Lower Austria, Hundsheim, Hundsheimer Berg Mt. Nature Reserve (ÖK 61/11), top plateau, 474 m a.s.l., 16°56'20" E, 48°07'30" N, 15/07, limestone, 2007/06/27, DD & KH; 101. AT, Lower Austria, Weinviertel, Falkenstein, Höllenstein Nature Reserve (ÖK 25/2), 16°35'11" E, 48°43'49" N, 08/07, 2007/06/21, DD & W. Willner; 102. AT, Lower Austria, Hundsheim, Hundsheimer Berg Mt. Nature Reserve (ÖK 61/11), above the village, 16°56'28" E, 48°07'28" N, 12/07, limestone, 2007/06/27, DD & KH; 103. CZ, southern Moravia, Pouzdřany, Pouzdřanská step-Kolby National Nature Reserve, plateau about 10 m from a wayside cross, 16°38'32" E, 48°56'34" N, 64/06, loess, 2006/06/11, DD; 104. CZ, Pavlovské vrchy Mts, Mikulov, Šibeničník Nature Reserve, 16°37'48" E, 48°47'23" N, 25 m², 61/06, relatively deep rocky soil, 2006/06/11, DD; 105. SK, Lučenecká kotlina basin, Hrnčiarska Ves, a grassland in an orchard on a hill, 19°51'02" E, 48°26'06" N, 21 m², KH38/09, quartzite, 2009/06/18, KH & MJ; 106. SK, Lučenecká kotlina basin, Hrnčiarska Ves, a hillside over field tracks, 19°51'02" E, 48°26'05" N, KH39/09, quartzite, 2009/06/18, KH, DD, IŠ & MJ; 107. SK, Revúcka vrchovina hills, Selce, NNE of the village, xeric edge of a grassland, 19°52'54" E, 48°27'57" N, 01/09, quartzite, 2009/06/18, DD & KH; 108. SK, Revúcka vrchovina hills, Uderiná, E of the village, behind a farm area, 19°37'24" E, 48°25'16" N, 02/09, quartzite, 2009/06/19, DD & MJ; 109. SK, Krupinská planina hills, Krupina, Vartovka hill, 30 m N from an observation tower located on the hilltop, 19°04'44" E, 48°20'59" N, 83/06, 2006/06/26, DD; 110. SK, Krupinská planina hills, Krupina, above a quarry E of the town and SW of Vartovka hill, 19°04'38" E, 48°20'45" N, 84/06, 2006/06/26, DD; 111. HU, Börzsöny Mts, Ipolytölgyes, Bánya-hegy Mt., a hill plateau behind an abandoned quarry, 18°48'49" E, 47°55'27" N, 24/06, ranker, andesite, 2006/05/25, DD & J. Nagy; 112. CZ, southern Moravia, Pouzdřany, Pouzdřanská step-Kolby National Nature Reserve, 16°38'35" E, 48°56'28" N, 63/06, loess, 2006/06/11, DD; 113. HU, Börzsöny Mts, Szob, Ruzsás-hegy Mt., 18°52'46" E, 47°49'58" N, 29/06, 2006/05/26, DD & J. Nagy; 114. SK, Hronská pahorkatina hills (Belianske kopce), Mužla, Starý vrch hill, above an abandoned quarry in a valley between Dank and Starý vrch, 18°38'03" E, 47°49'07" N, 09/05, andesite covered with loess, 2005/05/17, DD; 115. AT, Lower Austria, Hundsheim, Hundsheimer Berg Mt. Nature Reserve (ÖK 61/11), plateau above the village, 16°56'19" E, 48°07'31" N, 13/07, relatively deep soil, limestone, 2007/06/27, DD & KH; 116. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Dolný Lopašov, Pod Holým vrchom Nature Reserve, 17°37'36" E, 48°35'06" N, 79/06, rendzina, dolomite, 2006/06/23, DD; 117. AT, Lower Austria, Bisamberg Mt. (ÖK 41/20), 332 m a.s.l., 16°21'33" E, 48°19'04" N, 10/07, flysch, 2007/06/25, DD & W.

Willner; 118. AT, Lower Austria, Bisamberg Mt. (ÖK 41/20), 16°21'43" E, 48°16'05" N, 11/07, flysch, 2007/06/25, DD & W. Willner; 119. SK, Turčianska kotlina basin, Folkušová, Pálčín diel (elevation point 543.1), N of the village, 18°56'54" E, 48°58'31" N, 54/06, 2006/06/06, DD & MJ; 120. SK, Rimavská kotlina basin, Čilík (between Rimavská Sobota and Tornaľa), N of the village, 20°12'46" E, 48°24'12" N, 89/06, 2006/07/03, KH & IŠ; 121. SK, Považský Inovec Mts, Beckov castle hill, near the entrance to the castle, 17°53'54" E, 48°47'25" N, 2 m, 70/06, limestone, 2006/06/14, DD; 122. SK, Revúcka vrchovina hills (Drienčanský kras), Slizké, dry grassland hill NW of the village, near the transmission line, 20°04'30" E, 48°31'26" N, 92/06, 2006/07/05, DD, KH & MJ; 123. SK, Malé Karpaty Mts, Bratislava, Štokeravská vápenka Nature Reserve, upper grassland above the climbing rocks, 17°00'09" E, 48°12'06" N, 94/06, quartzite, 2006/07/13, DD & K. Vidékyová.

Literature

- BOJKO, H. (1934): Die Vegetationsverhältnisse im Seewinkel. – Beih. Bot. Centralbl. 51: 600–747. Dresden.
- BORHIDI, A. (2003): Magyarország növénytársulásai (Hungarian plant communities) [in Hungarian]. – Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest: 610 pp.
- BRAUN-BLANQUET, J. (1964): Pflanzensoziologie. Grundzüge der Vegetationskunde. 3rd ed. – Springer, Wien: 865 pp.
- BRUELHEIDE, H. (1995): Die Grünlandgesellschaften des Harzes und ihre Standortsbedingungen. Mit einem Beitrag zum Gliederungsprinzip auf der Basis von statistisch ermittelten Artengruppen. – Diss. Bot. 244: 1–338. Berlin.
- (2000): A new measure of fidelity and its application to defining species groups. – J. Veg. Sci. 11: 167–178. Uppsala.
- & CHYTRÝ, M. (2000): Towards unification of national vegetation classifications: A comparison of two methods for analysis of large data sets. – J. Veg. Sci. 11: 295–306. Uppsala.
- CHYTRÝ, M. (2007) [Ed.]: Vegetace České republiky. 1. Travinná a keříčková vegetace (Vegetation of the Czech Republic. 1. Grassland and heathland vegetation) [in Czech, with English summaries]. – Academia, Praha: 526 pp.
- CSIKY, J. (2003): A Nógrád-Gömöri bazaltvidék flórája és vegetációja (Flora and vegetation of the Nógrád-Gömör bazaltvidék) [in Hungarian]. – Tilia 11: 167–339. Sopron.
- DENGLER, J., CHYTRÝ, M. & EWALD, J. (2008): Phytosociology. – In: JØRGENSEN, S.E. & FATH, B.D. [Eds.]: Encyclopedia of ecology. Vol. 4: 2767–2779. – Elsevier, Oxford.
- DOBOLYI, K., KOVÁTS, D., SZERDAHELYI, T. & SZOLLÁT, G. (1991): Vegetation studies on the rocky grasslands of Odvas hill (Budaörs, Hungary). – Ann. Hist.-Nat. Mus. Nat. Hung. 83: 199–223. Budapest.
- DOMIN, K. (1932): Nejvýznačnější travinná společenstva čachtických kopců v jihozápadním Slovensku (The most important grassland communities of the čachtické kopce Mts. in SW Slovakia). – Rozpr. České Akad., Tr. 2, Vědy Mat.-Přír., 52 (24): 1–10. Praha.
- DÚBRAVKOVÁ, D., CHYTRÝ, M., WILLNER, W., ILLYÉS, E., JANIŠOVÁ, M. & KÁLLAYNÉ SZERÉNYI, J. (2010): Dry grasslands in the Western Carpathians and the northern Pannonian Basin: a numerical classification. – Preslia 82: 165–221. Praha.
- DÚBRAVKOVÁ-MICHÁLKOVÁ, D., JANIŠOVÁ, M., KOLBEK, J., ŠUVADA, R., VIRÓK, V. & ZALIBEROVÁ, M. (2008): Dry grasslands in the Slovenský kras Mts (Slovakia) and the Aggteleki-karszt Mts (Hungary) – a comparison of two classification approaches. – Hacquetia 7: 123–140. Ljubljana.
- EJSINK, J., ELLENBROEK, G., HOLZNER, W. & WERGER, M. J. A. (1978): Dry and semi-dry grasslands in the Weinviertel, Lower Austria. – Vegetatio 36: 129–148. Den Haag.
- ELLENBERG, H. (1996): Vegetation Mitteleuropas mit den Alpen in ökologischer, dynamischer und historischer Sicht. 5th ed. – Ulmer, Stuttgart: 1096 pp.
- EUROPEAN COMMISSION (Edit.) (2007): Interpretation Manual of European Union Habitats – EUR27. – European Commission, DG Environment, Brussels: 144 pp.
- FISCHER, M. A., ADLER, W. & OSWALD, K. (2005): Exkursionsflora für Österreich, Liechtenstein und Südtirol. 2nd ed. – Biologiezentrum der Oberösterreichischen Landesmuseen, Linz: 1392 pp.
- FUTÁK, J. (1947): Xerothermná vegetácia skupiny K ažieho stola (Xerothermic vegetation of the Kňaží stól mountain group). – Spolok Sv. Vojtecha, Trnava: 258 pp.
- GAUCKLER, K. (1969): Der Steppenhafer – *Helictotrichon desertorum* ssp. *besseri* – eine florenkundliche Besonderheit der Hainburger Berge. – Mitt. Florist.-Soziol. Arbeitsgem. N. F. 14: 291–298. Göttingen.
- HENNEKENS, S. M. & SCHAMINÉE, J. H. J. (2001): TURBOVEG, a comprehensive data base management system for vegetation data. – J. Veg. Sci. 12: 589–591. Uppsala.

- HOLZNER, W. (Edit.) (1986): Österreichischer Trockenrasen-Katalog. Bundesministerium f. Gesundheit u. Umweltschutz, Wien: 380 pp.
- HORVÁTH, A. (2002): A mez földi löszvegetáció términázati szervez dése (Organization of spatial pattern of loess vegetation in the Mez föld region). – Scientia Kiadó, Budapest: 174 pp.
- ILLYÉS, E. & BÖLÖNI, J. (2007) [Eds.]: Lejt sztyepek, löszgyepek és erd ssztyeprétek Magyarországon (Slope steppes, loess steppes and forest steppe meadows in Hungary) [in Hungarian, with English summaries]. – MTA ÖBKI, Budapest: 236 pp.
- , CHYTRÝ, M., BOTTA-DUKÁT, Z., JANDT, U., ŠKODOVÁ, I., JANIŠOVÁ, M., WILLNER, W. & HÁJEK, O. (2007): Semi-dry grasslands along a climatic gradient across Central Europe: vegetation classification with validation. – J. Veg. Sci. 18: 835–846. Uppsala.
- JAKUCS, P. (1954): Mikroklimamérések a Tornai Karszton tekintettel a fatömegprodukcióra és a karszt-fásításra. (Microclimatic measurements in the Aggteleki-karszt Mts focussed on the wood-mass production and afforestation of a karst area) [in Hungarian]. – Ann. Hist.-Nat. Mus. Natl. Hung. Ser. Nov. 5: 149–173. Budapest.
- (1955): Geobotanische Untersuchungen und die Karstforschung in Nordungarn. – Acta Bot. Acad. Sci. Hung. 2: 89–134. Budapest.
- JANIŠOVÁ, M. (2007a) [Ed.]: Travinnobylinná vegetácia Slovenska – elektronický expertný systém na identifikáciu syntaxónov (Grassland vegetation of Slovakia – electronic expert system for identification of syntaxa) [in Slovak, with English summaries]. – Botanický ústav SAV, Bratislava: 263 pp.
- (2007b): *Bromo pannonici-Festucion pallentis* Zólyomi 1966 [in Slovak, with English summary]. – In: JANIŠOVÁ, M. [Ed.]: Travinnobylinná vegetácia Slovenska – elektronický expertný systém na identifikáciu syntaxónov (Grassland vegetation of Slovakia – electronic expert system for identification of syntaxa): 49–63. Botanický ústav SAV, Bratislava.
- & DUBRAVKOVÁ, D. (in press): Formalized classification of rocky Pannonian grasslands and dealpine *Sesleria*-dominated grasslands in Slovakia using a hierarchical expert system. – Phytocoenologia: in press. Berlin.
- JUHÁSZ-NAGY, P. (1957): A Beregi-sík rét-legelő társulásai. I (Meadow and pasture communities of the Beregi-sík Lowland. I) [in Hungarian]. – Acta Univ. Debrecen. 4: 195–228. Debrecen.
- KLIKA, J. (1931): Studien über die xerotherme Vegetation Mitteleuropas. I. Die Pollauer Berge im südlichen Mähren. – Beih. Bot. Centralbl. 47 (2): 343–398. Dresden.
- KOLBEK, J. & BOUBLÍK, K. (2006): Rostlinná společenstva s *Helictotrichon desertorum* v České republice (The plant communities with *Helictotrichon desertorum* in the Czech Republic) [in Czech]. – Severočes. Přír. 38: 1–10. Litoměřice.
- KUBINSKÁ, A. & JANOVIČOVÁ, K. (1996): A second checklist and bibliography of Slovak bryophytes. – Biologia 51 (Suppl. 3): 81–146. Bratislava.
- KUZEMKO, A. (2009): Dry grasslands on sandy soils in the forest and forest-steppe zones of the plains region of Ukraine: present state of syntaxonomy. – Tuexenia 29: 369–390 + 1 table. Göttingen.
- MARHOLD, K. & HINDÁK, F. (1998) [Eds.]: Zoznam nižších a vyšších rastlín Slovenska – Checklist of non-vascular and vascular plants of Slovakia. – Veda, Bratislava: 688 pp.
- MÉSZÁROS-DRASKOVITS, R. (1967): A *Linum dolomiticum* Borb. cönológiai viszonyai (Syntaxonomical relations of *Linum dolomiticum* Borb.). – Bot. Közlem. 54: 193–201. Budapest.
- MICHÁLKOVÁ, D. (2007): *Festucion valesiacae* Klika 1931 [in Slovak, with English summary]. – In: JANIŠOVÁ, M. [Ed.]: Travinnobylinná vegetácia Slovenska – elektronický expertný systém na identifikáciu syntaxónov (Grassland vegetation of Slovakia – electronic expert system for identification of syntaxa): : 33–49. Botanický ústav SAV, Bratislava.
- , ŠKODOVÁ, I. & MERTANOVÁ, S. (2006): Príspevok k fytoenológii xerothermných rastlinných společenstiev v Považskom Inovci (Contribution to phytocoenology of xerophilous plant communities in the Považský Inovec Mts.) [in Slovak]. – In: RAJCOVÁ, K. [Ed.]: Najvzácnejšie prírodné hodnoty Tematínskych vrchov. Zborník výsledkov inventarizačného výskumu územia európskeho významu Tematínske vrchy (Exceptional Natural Values of the Tematínske vrchy Mts. Results of the inventory research in the Special Area of Conservation Tematínske vrchy Mts) [in Slovak]: 35–44. KOZA and Pre prírodu, Trenčín.
- MOLNÁR, Z., BÖLÖNI, J. & HORVÁTH, F. (2008): Threatening factors encountered: actual endangerment of the Hungarian (semi-)natural habitats. – Acta Bot. Hung. 50 (Suppl.): 195–210. Budapest.
- MUCINA, L. & KOLBEK, J. (1993): *Festuco-Brometea*. – In: MUCINA, L., GRABHERR, G. & ELLMAUER, T. [Eds.]: Die Pflanzengesellschaften Österreichs. Teil I: 420–492. Fischer, Jena.
- ŘEHOREK, V. (1969): Údolné lúky nížinného stupňa v povodí Slanej (Lowland meadows in the watershed of the Slaná river) [in Slovak]. – Unpubl. Ph.D. thesis, Slovak Agricultural University, Nitra.

- ROLEČEK, J., TICHÝ, L., ZELENÝ, D. & CHYTRÝ, M. (2009): Modified TWINSpan classification in which the hierarchy respects cluster heterogeneity. – J. Veg. Sci. 20: 596–602. Uppsala.
- RUPRECHT, E., SZABO, A., ENYEDI, M., DENGLER, J. (2009): Steppe-like grasslands in Transylvania (Romania): characterisation and influence of management on species diversity and composition. – Tuexenia 29: 353–368 + 1 table. Göttingen.
- RUŽICKOVÁ, H. (1971): Rastlinné spoločenstvá lúk a slatín v povodí Čiernej vody (Východoslovenská nížina) (Plant communities of meadows and fens in the Čierna voda watershed (Východoslovenská nížina Lowland)) [in Slovak]. – Biol. Pr. SAV 17 (7): 1–131. Bratislava.
- SILLINGER, P. (1930): Vegetace Tematinských kopců na západním Slovensku (Vegetation of the Tematínske kopce Mts in western Slovakia). – Rozpr. České Akad. Věd, Tr. 2, Vědy Mat.-Přír. 40 (13): 1–46. Praha.
- SIMON, T. (2000): A magyarországi edényes flóra határozója. Harasztok – virágos növények (Field guide to the vascular flora of Hungary. Pteridophytes–Angiosperms). – Nemzeti Tankönyvkiadó, Budapest: 892 pp.
- ŠKODOVÁ, I. (2007): *Cirsio-Brachypodium pinnati* Hadač et Klika ex Klika 1951 [in Slovak, with English summary]. – In: JANIŠOVÁ, M. [Ed.]: Travinnobylinná vegetácia Slovenska – elektronický expertný systém na identifikáciu syntaxónov (Grassland vegetation of Slovakia – electronic expert system for identification of syntaxa): 78–86. Botanický ústav SAV, Bratislava.
- TICHÝ, L. (2002): JUICE, software for vegetation classification. – J. Veg. Sci. 13: 451–453. Uppsala.
- (2005): New similarity indices for the assignment of relevés to the vegetation units of an existing phytosociological classification. – Plant Ecol. 179: 67–72. Dordrecht.
- , CHYTRÝ, M., POKORNÝ-STRUDEL, M., STRUDEL, M. & VICHEREK, J. (1997): Wenig bekannte Trockenrasen-Gesellschaften in den Flußtälern am Südostrand der Böhmisches Masse. – Tuexenia 17: 223–237. Göttingen.
- VAN DER MAAREL, E. (1979): Transformation of cover-abundance values in phytosociology and its effect on community similarity. – Vegetatio 39: 97–114. Den Haag.
- WALTER, H. (1974): Die Vegetation Osteuropas, Nord- und Zentralasiens. – Fischer, Stuttgart: 452 pp.
- WEBER, H. E., MORAVEC, J., THEURILLAT, J.-P. (2000): International Code of Phytosociological Nomenclature. 3rd edition. – J. Veg. Sci. 11: 739–768. Uppsala.
- WESTHOFF, V. & VAN DER MAAREL, E. (1973): The Braun-Blanquet approach. – In: WHITTAKER, R. H. [Ed.]: Ordination and classification of communities: 617–727. Junk, The Hague.
- WILLNER, W., JAKOMINI, C., SAUBERER, N. & ZECHMEISTER, H. G. (2004): Zur Kenntnis kleiner Trockenraseninseln im Osten Österreichs. – Tuexenia 24: 215–226. Göttingen.
- ZÓLYOMI, B. (1958): Budapest és környékének természetes növénytakarója (Natural vegetation of Budapest and its surroundings) [in Hungarian]. – In: PÉCSI, M. [Ed.]: Budapest természeti képe (The landscape of Budapest): 509–642. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest.

Daniela Dúbravková, Katarína Hegedúšová, Monika Janišová and Iveta Škodová
Institute of Botany, Slovak Academy of Sciences

Dúbravská cesta 9

845 23 Bratislava, SLOVAKIA

daniela.dubravkova@savba.sk, katarina.hegedusova@savba.sk, monika.janisova@savba.sk,
iveta.skodova@savba.sk

Daniela Dúbravková

also: Homeland Museum in Považská Bystrica

Ul. odborov 244/8

017 01 Považská Bystrica, SLOVAKIA

Monika Janišová

also: Faculty of Natural Sciences, Matej Bel University

Tajovského 40

974 01 Banská Bystrica, SLOVAKIA

Co-ordinating editor: Jürgen Dengler

Manuscript received: 30.10.2009; accepted: 05.04.2010