Genetic and morphological variation in the Viola suavis group in the western Balkan Peninsula

Marek Slovák, Jaromír Kučera, Judita Zozomová-Lihová, Iva Hodálová, Roman Letz, Pavol Mereďa Jr.



I. Introduction

pressed to the peduncle, 2n = 40 nd the Middle East, introduced to C & N Europe

ax: numerous (infra)specific taxa described; at the species 1857 – V. beraudii, 1872 – V. austriaca, 1872 – V. cyanea, V. adriatica, 1889 – V. segobricensis, 1918 – V. pontica, 1929 – V. catalonica

Results of our previous studies (Mered'a et al. 2008)

ically and morphologically differentiated types within *V. suavis* s.l. in Europe: 1) blue-flowered m central and south-eastern Europe (C&SE Europe hereafter) (=*V. suavis* s. str.), 2) white-flowered m C&SE Europe, 3) blue-flowered type from Spain, 4) white-flowered type from Spain (syn. *V. cata*-

Viola suavis group in the western Balkan Peninsula

anca – described in 1884 by Freyn – freated either as a separate species, subspecies [*V. sua* a*driatica* (Freyn) Haesler] or not accepted as distinct from *V. suavis*; **Fig. 2, 3**

Research questions

ect. Violar n Balkan populations of V. suavis s.l. genetically, morphologically and karyologic opulations of V. suavis s.l. occurring in C&SE Europe and those from NE Spain? tions from W Balkan genetically, karyologically and morphologically homogenous to the working coorporationally structured?

+ * 2.2) to Montenegro. C&S M NT HI HI CG

Map of sample sites of the studied populations of Viola subsect. Viola: V. alba subsp. alba (white triangle), V. dtii (black triangle), V. ambigua (white square), V. jaubertiana (asterisk), V. collina (star), V. hirta (plus), V. ook V. Dypenaica (spade), V. thomasiana (trefoil), V. suavis s1. (circle) – blue-flowered populations from Spain (bra-wered from Spain (orange circle), blue-flowered from C&SE Europe (dark blue circles), white-flowered from C& Je circles), populations from Trieste (violet circle), from NW Croatia (green circle) and from S Dalmatia (red circle). C&SE Europ

III. Results

1) Ploidy level

Viola suavis group

all populations of V. suavis s.l. are octoploid (2n = 40)

2) nrDNA ITS data

- dataset of 70 sequences and 612 aligned nucleotide positions; 49 variable sites strict consensus tree of the most parsimonious trees revealed **5 well supported** clades, placed in polytomy: 1) *V. alba* subsp. *alba*, 2) *V. alba* subsp. *dehnhardtili*, **Fig. 2.** *Viola suavis* subsp. *dehnhardtili*, addietica at the type locality at Bakar (NW Croatia).
- 4) V. ambigua, 5) V. hirta and V. thomasiana; Fig. 4 all accessions of V. suavis s.l. are monophyletic, most closely related to and apparently dirived from V. pyrenaica; Fig. 4
- populations of V. suavis s.l. from S Croatia, SW Herzegovina and W Montenegro (S Dalmatia hereafter) form a distinct subclade

3) AFLP data

- dataset of 192 individuals and 184 AFLP markers
- V. pyrenaica is clearly separated from all accessions of V. suavis s.l. (fig. not shown)
- pronounced genetic structure in V. suavis s.l. [neighbour-joining (NJ), Bayesian, and neighbor-net
- pronounced genetic structure in *V. suavis* s.i. [neighbour-joining (NJ), Bayesian, and neighbor-net clustering, PCoA]; Figs. 5, 6, 7 Bayesian clustering detects 2 and 4 genetic groups (corroborated also by NJ, PCoA and neighbor-net analyses). At K = 2, one cluster is formed by the populations from S Dalmatia and the other by the remaining population of *V. suavis* s.i. At K = 4, the following groupings are distinguished: 1) S Dalmatia, and NN (Cost and V. Stavis) s.i. At K = 4, the following groupings are distinguished: 1) S Dalmatia, and the other by the remaining population of *V. suavis* s.i. At K = 4, the following groupings are distinguished: 1) S Dalmatia, and the other by the remaining population of *V. suavis* s.i. At K = 4, the following groupings are distinguished: 1) S Dalmatia, and the other by the remaining population of *V. suavis* s.i. At K = 4, the following groupings are distinguished: 1) S Dalmatia, and the other by the remaining population of *V. suavis* s.i. At K = 4, the following groupings are distinguished: 1) S Dalmatia, and the other by the remaining population of *V. suavis* s.i. At K = 4, the following groupings are distinguished: 1) S Dalmatia, and the other by the remaining population of *V. suavis* s.i. At K = 4, the following groupings are distinguished: 1) S Dalmatia, and the other by the remaining population of *V. suavis* s.i. At K = 4, the following groupings are distinguished: 1) S Dalmatia, and the other by the population of *V. suavis* s.i. At K = 4, the following groupings are distinguished: 1) S Dalmatia, and the other by the population of *V. suavis* s.i. At K = 4, the following groupings are distinguished: 1) S Dalmatia, and the other by the population of *V. suavis* s.i. At K = 4, the following groupings are distinguished: 1) S Dalmatia, and the other by the population of *V. suavis* s.i. At K = 4, the following groupings are distinguished: 1) S Dalmatia, the following groupings are distinguished: 1) S Dalmatia, and the other by the population of *V. suavis* s.i. At K 2) NW Croatia, 3) C&SE Europe (both blue- and white-flowered morphotypes), 4) NE Spain (also both blue- and white-flowered morphotypes); Fig. 6 populations from S Dalmatia are genetically conspicuously differentiated from all other populations of
- V. suavis s.l.
- two populations from the vicinity of Trieste clustered with the populations from C&SE Europe; Figs. 5, 6, 7 populations from W Balkan are genetically much more variable than those from C&SE Europe and NE Spain; Fig. 5

4) Morphometrics

- 513 individuals from 52 populations: 21 morphological characters (PCA; fig. not shown)
- (PCA; fig. not shown) canonical discriminant analyses **confirmed morphological differentiation** between the 2 genetic clusters (K = 2; fig. not shown) as well as among the 4 clusters (K = 4; **Fig. 8**) resolved by Bayesian clustering



IV. Conclusions

Genetic and morphological data support the recognition of 4 taxa within *Viola suavis* at subspecies level: 1) V. suavis subsp. suavis (C&SE Europe, C&E Balkan) – with two color morphotypes: typical blue-flowered and white-flowered (varietal rank adequate?)

- 2) V. suavis subsp. adriatica (NW Croatia)
 3) V. suavis subsp. 'South Dalmatia' (S Dalmatia)
- 4) V. suavis subsp. 'Spain' (Iberian Peninsula, SE France?) with two color morphotypes: blue-flowered and white-flowered (syn. V. catalonica; varietal rank adequate?)



II. Sampling & methods

ig. 4. Strict consensus tree (maximum risimony) based on nrDNA ITS sequence data. eographic origin of the samples and the colour ophotypes of *Vola suavis* s.1. are indicated. oidy levels for each taxon are shown along the rifical bars. Accessions with asterisks are ken from Mereda et al. (2008), those with two terisks indicate GenBank accessions.





Fig. 7. Principal coordinate analysis based on AFLP data of 192 individuals of Viola suavis s.l.



Å

Fig. 5. Neighbor-net diagram based on AFLP data of 192 individuals of Viola

etic structuring in *Viola suavis* s.l. (173 individuals from as resolved by Bayesian clustering of AFLP phenotypes at K Each individual is represented by a vertical bar, colou *v* according to the cluster assignment (red – V. suavis sul atia, green – V. suavis subsp. adriatica, blue – V. suavis subsp. "Spain").

K = 4



Literature: Mereda P. Jr, Hodálová I., Mártonfi P., Kučera J. & Lihová J. (2008): Intr Acknowledgements: This study was supported by the Slovak Research and Deve of *Viola pyrenaica* in the Alps. Photographs: P. Mereda Jr. pe: parallel evolution of white-flowered morphotypes. Ann. Bot. (Oxford) 102: 443–462 7). We are grateful to E. Dörr (Kempten, Germany) for valuable information on the oc

. . .